Ref # 131



63.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

BUREAU OF ENTOMOLOGY AND PLANT QUARANTINE
FOREST INSECT INVESTIGATIONS

DOUGLAS FIR BEETLE INFESTATION

Cody Canyon, Shoshone National Forest, Wyoming

James C. Evenden Entomologist

Forest Insect Laboratory Coeur d'Alene, Idaho Sept. 15, 1937

Staffile No. matthewarmer Forest Insect Laboratory Coeur d'Alen, Idaho Hefer to file Sept. 18, 1937 Project 1-10 Regional Forester Post Office Building Denver. Colorado Attention: Mr. Cochran Dear Sir: Inclosed is a copy of our report on the Douglas fir beetle situation in the Cody Canyon. Shoshone National Forest. In this report you will note that I have recommended the treatment of the socolled back, or inaccessible, areas. Though I believe that the continuation of the present plan of control would give up the same success we have at ained in the past, and that with the cas ation of the budworm epidemic the project could be successfully closed in a year or two, it has and will have the serious objection of calling for maintenance control as long as a supply of weakened host material is left by the budwom. The treatment of the so-called back areas should reduce the bark-beetle population to a point where at least for a f w years the a tag s can be absorbed by the watered trees, and no maintenance control will be necessary during that period. In estimating the funds necessary for the treatment of these back areas I was very much at a loss. However, in past discussions with Supervisor Siever we both felt that the cost of this treatment would be at least \$3.00 per tree. A copy of this report has been forwarded to Washington by airmail so that our Washington offices will be advised of this situation as soon as possible. I should be very glad to answer any questions in connection with this report which you may care to ask, and trust that I have made our position clear. Very truly yours, James C. Evenden Entomologist cc to Forest Supervisor, Shoshone N. F.

DOUGLAS FIR BEFFLE INFESTATION

Cody Canyon, Shoshone National Forest, Wyoming

The 1937 survey of the Douglas fir beetle control project of the Cody Canyon, Sheshone National Forest was instituted on Angast 1. Mr. A. L. Gibson, Assistant Intomologist, Forest Insect Laboratory, Coeur d'Alene, Idaho, who was in charge of this operation, was assisted by Mr. Herzman, Ecw Foreman, and a crew of four strip runners. Due to unavoidable circumstances, the completion of this survey was delayed from the 1st of September until the 11th.

This year's project provided for the coverage of not only the areas accessible to the highway and which have been covered by control during the past few years, but the co-called imaccessible back areas which have acted as a source of reinfestation to the treated units. These two phases of this year's project called for somewhat different data. As existing plans called for the use of CCC enrollees in treating the infested trees within the accessible areas, the question of an allotment of funds was not involved. As a result, only sufficient data were secured from these units to determine the necessity for the institution of control. Though it is realized that with such data the estimated number of infested trees within these units will vary from the actual number which will subsequently be treated, the data are sufficiently accurate for the purpose intended. The imaccessible back areas presented a more difficult problem. The impractibility of

that in the event of control measures' being instituted, an appropriation of funds would be necessary for the hiring of laborers and overhead for the establishment of temporary camps. Under these conditions it was necessary to make a nore intensive survey of these units in order to secure an accurate estimate of the number of infested trees.

As the data secured from this survey has been forwarded to this laboratory in a series of memoranda and telegrame, some errors may have occurred, which may require some slight changes in the recommendations. However, this will be of minor importance and will be confined to the accessible areas to be worked by CCC enrollers.

ABALTSIS OF THE 1937 LATA

been concerned with a primary insect which is attacking in a secondary capacity. The Douglas fir beetle infestation is but an afternath of the aprace buttors epidemic that has been present within the area since 1922. The severe defoliation associated with the buttors outbreak, which soon spread to a tremendous arrange, made available a continuous supply of dying trees which were emecially attractive hosts for neetle attack. Fortunately the heavy centers of defoliation were confined to the heads of the many draws and size drainages of the Shoshone River, so that the trees along the river and around the many resorts, though

attacked, were not swerely weakened. Within the centers of swere defoliation with an annually increasing supply of dying trees, the existing population of the Doubles fir beetle rapidly increased to a point where primary attacks occurred.

In 1931 it was apparent that the bark beatle population had increased to a point so it could no longer be absorbed by the supply of weakened and dying trees, and that unless this population was reduced by artificial control a severe loss of somic timer would follow. To accomplish this objective bark beetle control measures were instituted in the fall of 1931 and have continued through subsequent years. During this period, with the exception of the 1935 and 1937 seasons, the trees around the resorts, summer homes, etc. were sprayed in an attempt to reduce the buttern defoliation to a minimum.

The entomological plan of bark-beetle control adopted at the institution of this project was of a necessity influenced by existing conditions. The infested areas were roughly separated into two classic fications, accessible and inaccessible. The accessible areas were those centers of budworn defoliation which could be worked from the highways, though some of these could be resched only with an hour or more of walking time. The inaccessible areas were at the heads of the larger side drainages and were in a rough, steep, rocky region which could not be worked from the highways. With no funds available for the establishment of camp in these back areas, and in later years the impossibility of utilizing GCC enrolless for this purpose, control measures have been

confined to the more accessible territory. But a plan of control could only have an its objective the rejuction of the beetle punulation within the treated arous to a point where it would be absorbed by the trees dying from budwors defoliation. This objective was directed toward the preservation of the trees along the river and -round the resorts, and not the severely defoliated trees, as their death was inevit ble. That this holding plan of control has been successful in shown by the complete absence of timber losses within the areas for which protection is especially desired. Though successful in accomplishing its objective, each year the treated areas have been, and will be, reinfested as long as untreated areas of infestation are nearby, and as long as the budworm epidemic continues to leave a supply of dying trees. It is difficult to evaluate or compare the success of this operation with any other plan of control. Though a more extensive operation would have unquestionably lessened the severity of the annual reinfectation within the so-called accessible units, as long as dying budworm tress remained within those areas, maintenance control would have been necessary.

During the past season the spruce budworm infectation around the utility areas was so light that spraying was considered unaccessary. Furthermore, with the exception of a few areas, it would seem that there has been a marked reduntion in the budworm population throughout the entire drainage, and it is keped that this favorable condition marks

at least the beginning of the end. Furthermore, there has been a marked reduction in the severity of the bark beetle attacks, as 21 percent of the infested trees encountered on the survey strips were recorded as light attacks. Furthermore, in many trees the attacks are confined to the lower portion of the belo, with many instances only of infested lengths extending to a height of/four to six feet.

under these conditions, and with the hope that the budwors epidemic may be approaching its end, it is recommended that an effort be made to extend the present plan of control so as to include the so-called back or immocessible areas. In making this recommendation it is fully realised that as long as trees are present within the units which are dying from budworm defoliation the Bouglas fir beetle will be present, and will remain a potential source of danger to the scenic timber stands at stake. By extending control into all infested areas it is hoped that the beetle population will be reduced sufficiently to remove the present threat to the scenic timber stands, and that this condition will prevail until the budworm outbreak is at an end and normal conditions are restored.

reports to present the Cody Canyon problem as fairly and clearly as possible. The situation is peculiar in that the success of our past efforts rests very largely upon the future of the budworm epidemic.

The following tabulation lists the areas for which control is recommended.

WHICH ARE ACCESSIBLE TO CCC CAMPE

	1	: Kupper of in		
		: No. of 1936:	stimated Ho.	
Unit	: Acres	: attacks :	attacks	Renarka
lackwater-Sheep Greek River Area		an and an analysis of the second	72	Though the 1937 infestation is still light, .150 tree per acre, control is recommended due to the increase which has occurred.
Sheep Creek	256	34 Treated	50	.197 infestation showed .196 tree per acre as against .133 in 1936.
Hess-Fishbawk Creek				
Slope	600	60	60	Though the infestation on the entire unit is very light, most of it is concentrated in an area of approximately 30 acres. This concentrated area should be treated, but not the entire unit.
Flanhamiz (Lower)	786	93 Treated	226	Budworn damage decreased, with a
				lighter bark beetle infectation on the treated area. 1937 bark beetle attacks were confined to the head of a large draw to the east of the creek
Dead Horse Sulch	100	Treated	64	Though the 1937 infestation is some- what lighter than that of 1936, it is still sufficiently heavy, with a large number of heavy attacks, to warrant control.

	*		stimated No.	
warm Unit	: Acres	: No. of 1936:		1 Remarks
Little Dead Horse Gulc	60	104 Treated	64	Same condition as described in Dead Horse Gulch.
Normon Greek	360	165 Treated	278	Marked increase in the bark beetle infestation, with severe budworn damage in 1937.
Greever Greek	46	116 Preated	92	Status of the 1937 infestations warrants centrol.
Rieneckers	200	144 Treated	259	Increased 1937 infestation justi- fies treatment.
Overlook Gulches	288	247 Treated	345	Severity as well as the increased infestation warrants control.
Libby Flat	49	•	58	Increased infestation warrants control.
Elephant Head	216	40	J ph	Increased infestation justifies control.
Little Elephant Head	中0	336 Treated	69	Character of the 1937 infestation as well as the severity warrants control.
Ohimney Rock Creek	127	201 Treated	136	Escay concentration of infested trees justifies control.

Unit		No. of 1936:	of 1937	Beserte_
Lost Draw	100	219 Treated	250	Severity of infestation warrants control.
West Lost Draw	102	502 Treated	265	Same situation as Lost Draw.
Cliff Creek	65	104 Treated	79	1937 infestation sufficiently heavy to warrant control.
Palisade Gulch	140	76 Treated	99	1937 infestation warrants control.
Cedar Gulch	95	274 Treated	37	mough due to the sherage of host meterial a reduced infestation is present in this area, it should be treated.
Mawaill Gulch	200	25 Treated	126	Increased Infestation warrants control.
Three Draw Slope	225	ugo Estimated	268	Though the infestation has decreased in severity, it is still sufficiently heavy to justify control.
Spring Draw	200	508	512	Heavy infestation justifying control
Orinnel Creek	250	97 Treated	113	Increased infestation justifies con- trol. Timber scattered, and infes- tation heavier than indicated by the

data.

: Number of infested tress : :Estimated Eo. :

Unit	1					T WHETE
- WILL	LMLIES.		±	<u>s</u> s. X		
Horth Fork to East	300		57	Increased inf	estation.	
Mormon Greek Grinnel Greek Mope	1,000	119 Treated	356	lacreased infestation and char of attacks justifies control.		
Pahaska-Jones Creek (East side)	1,500	231 Estimated	950	Marked increase in the 1937 in tation.		nfes-
Castle Gulch	40	59 Treated	55		ced infestation, these trees is j	
Grinnel	250	367 Retimated	146	Ho data relat festation.	ive to status of	in-
Libby Creek	450	676 Estimated	435	8 9	6 6	
Goff Creek	550	777 Estimated	636	00 00		

AREAS FOR WHICH CONTROL IS RECOMMENDED WHICH ARE NOT ACCESSIBLE TO THE CCC CAMP

Unit	: :			
Crow Greek	350	63	74	If North Fork drainage is treated, the lower portion of the Grow Greek drainage should be included, though the infestation is rather light.
Crow Creek-	600		2 24	7-6-4-4
Jones Creek Slope	600		134	Infestation rather light, but believe the area should be included in any plan of control for the Worth Fork mea.
Jones Creek	750		100	Infestation concentrated in lower portion of this unit. Should be included as a part of any plan of control for the North Fork area.
Jones-Red Creek	1,100	993	600	Infestation sufficiently heavy to justify the institution of control as a part of the Worth Fork area.
Red-forrent Creek	750	•	100	Though a rather light infestation, the 1937 attacks are concentrated around the groups of trees killed in 1936. These trees should be used as a guide to the location of 1937 attacks.

Tall	i i iAcres	menoperation charges and environment vision expression and environment		THE PARTY OF THE P
Sectuator	5.000	260	1,445	Infestation increasing. Area is rough and inaccessible; however, these trees should be treated as a part of any program of back area clean-up.
Elk Fork	1,650		1,686	The severity of the infestation within this area justifies the expense of control in a program of back area control. Severe budworm damage in this area.
lebbews- Upper Canyon Area	1,500) 710	(584	Heavy infestation in the canyon area warrants control.
Fishhawk Creek- Upper Glacier Basin	500)	(125	Light infestation concentrated in an accessible area. Should be treated as a protection to the Upper Canyon area.
Upper Newton Creek	1,200	?	932	Severe infestation which acts as source of reinfestation to accessibl areas along river. Would require on camp on Upper Newton.

AREAS FOR WHICE CONTROL BEASURES ARE NOT RECOMMENDED

Unit	: : :Acres	:No. of 1936 : infested : trees	:Estimated no.: :of 1937 in- : :feated trees :		Bo	earks_			
Clearwater Creek	1,500	No estimate	50	Infestation	too	light	to	warrant	control
Spper Eagle Creek	5,000	100 Estimated	120	69		0		16	
Canfield Creek	1,500	No estimate	94	6		(6)	维	(1)	8
Shoop Mosa River Area	100	•	416						
French Gulch	20		ell.						
Overlook Slope	50	22 Estimateá	٠						
Brow West of Greever Greek	50	40							
Kitty Creek (Upper)	1.500	-	eto						
Blackwater	2,000	•	ale						
Blackwater (Upper)	75	125 Estimated	18						
Moss Creek	272		200						
Lower Gulch		-	100						

Unit	: : :Acres	: No. of 1936 : infested : trees	:Estimated no.: :of 1937 in- : :fested trees :	
URIU	TWOLER	i treet	· Yagran Fleat	ACREA
Newton Greek (Lower)	95	37 Treated	•	
Aspen Ridge	119	14 Estimated		
Bloom Julch	215		400	
Chianey Bock Flat	50		40	
Meea Creek	171	Wo data	6	
Big Creek	1,200	No data	109	Light infestation and remote from areas for which protection is desired.
Ounberrel	425	Katimates	6	
Kitty Creek	600	162 Retinated		
Canyon Creek	60			
Lost Greek	50			
Clock Tower	No data			
Horse Greek	No data			
Oriesly Greek	Ho data			
Spring Greek	No data			

SUMMARY OF AREAS FOR WHICH CONTROL IS RECOMMENDED

Areas Accessible to Highway to be orked by CCC Parollees

	1936	1937
Number of areas	32	30
Number of acres	9.656	8,875
Number of infested trees	8.232	6,235

Areas Not Accessible to Highway for Which Funds Will be Required

Number	of	areas		10
Number	of	agres"		13,410
Number	of	infes ed	trees	5.750

^{*} Some eliminations can be made from this acreage.

Estimate of Funds Required for Treatment

The difficulty of determining the fund which will be required for the treatment of these trees should be appreciated, and considerable learns accorded to the estimate given. In consideration of all the factors involved which have a direct relation to the expense of this operation, it is believed that the cost will not be less than \$3.00 per tree, and it is recommended that the sum of \$17.340 be alloted for this purpose. It will be appreciated that with no previous experience relative to the cost of control within these areas, the figure as given is little more than an estimate of the ultimate cost.

Respectfully submitted,